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Table S5.1. logK Values Used to Perform Equilibrium Calculations.

Reactions $\quad \log ^{\mathrm{c}} \mathbf{K}^{1} \quad$| Ionic Str. |
| :--- |
| $(\mathbf{M})$ |$\quad \mathbf{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$

Reactions Involving PP

| $\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{L}^{4-}=\mathrm{HL}^{3-}$ | 8.91 | 0.01 | 25 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{L}^{4-}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{2-}$ | 15.34 | 0.01 | 25 |
| $3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{L}^{4-}=\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{-}$ | 17.44 | 0.01 | 25 |
| $4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{L}^{4-}=\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{0}$ | 18.25 | 0.01 | 25 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+\mathrm{L}^{4-}=\mathrm{MnL}^{2-}$ | $6.12(1)$ | 0.01 | 25 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{L}^{3-}=\mathrm{MnHL}^{+}$ | 18.99 | 3.0 | 25 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{L}^{3-}=\mathrm{MnH}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{2+}$ | 19.78 | 3.0 | 25 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}^{\text {III }}(\mathrm{HL})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)_{2}\right]^{4-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}=\left[\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathrm{III}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}\right)_{3}\right]^{3-}$ | $3.41(2)$ | 0.5 | 25 |

Reactions Involving MDP

| $\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{L}^{4-}=\mathrm{HL}^{3-}$ | 10.96 | 0 | 25 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{L}^{4-}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{2-}$ | 18.36 | 0 | 25 |
| $3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{L}^{4-}=\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{-}$ | 21.32 | 0 | 25 |
| $4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{L}^{4-}=\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~L}^{0}$ | 23.14 | 0 | 25 |

$\underline{\text { Reactions Involving PAA }}$

| $\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{L}^{3-}=\mathrm{HL}^{2-}$ | 8.33 | 0.01 | 25 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{L}^{3-}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}^{-}$ | 13.26 | 0.01 | 25 |
| $3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{L}^{3-}=\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{0}$ | 14.47 | 0.01 | 25 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+\mathrm{L}^{3-}=\mathrm{MnL}^{-}$ | $6.80(3)$ | 0.01 | 25 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{L}^{3-}=\mathrm{MnHL}^{0}$ | $12.17(3)$ | 0.01 | 25 |

Metal Ion Hydrolysis Reactions

| $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OH})^{+}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | -10.69 | 0.01 | 25 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}^{-}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}$ | -34.80 | 0.01 | 25 |

Metal (Hydr)oxide Solubility-Controlling Phases

| $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}$ | -15.09 | 0.01 | 25 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{MnOOH}\left(3 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$ |  | $12(4)$ |

$\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{MnOOH}(\mathrm{s}$, manganite $)+3 \mathrm{H}^{+} \quad-1.2(4) \quad 0.0 \quad 25$

[^0]

Figure S5.1. $\mathbf{M n O}_{2}$ aging effect on time course plots for reaction of $200 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ with 5.0 mM PP , MDP and PAA at pH 7.0. For reactions with MDP and PAA, a pH stat was employed. For reaction with PP, self-buffering was sufficient to maintain constant pH .


Figure S5.2. Time course plot for reaction of $\mathbf{M n O O H}$ with 5.0 mM PP at pH 6.0. Self-buffering was sufficient to maintain constant pH .


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Unless otherwise noted, $\log ^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{K}$ values from electronic database CRITICAL (5), and referred to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, values not originally at ionic strength $=0.01 \mathrm{M}$ corrected using the Davies Equation.

